

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,190	02/13/2002	Kenneth Y. Ng	884.A25US1	5309
7590 01/03/2005			EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			DO, CHAT C	
P.O. Box 2938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402			2124	TATER NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	 	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/073,190	NG, KENNETH Y.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	: :	Chat C. Do	2124				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX:(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	:						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 2/13/0	02;5/10/02;8/7/02;6/23/03;8/30/04	4.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□ S							
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7)□ C							
8)□ C	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/7/02</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
R	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau	• • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Addrah							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date <u>2/13/02</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities: the applicant is advised to re-write the word "NFET" in full. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 5-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chehrazi et al. (U.S. 6,301,599).

Re claim 5, Chehrazi et al. disclose in Figure 4 a Booth encoder circuit (400) comprising: a plurality of transistors (e.g. 404-409) to receive a plurality of multiplier bits (b0-b2) and complements of plurality of multiplier bits (output of 401-403); and a plurality of logic circuits (410-414) coupled to ones of plurality of transistors to output Booth encoded signals (S0-S2 and S_1-S_2).

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 6, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 plurality of transistors comprise a first sub-circuit (circuit for generating S1 and S_1 in Figure 4), a second sub-circuit (circuit for generating S2 in Figure 4), a third sub-circuit (circuit for generating S_2 in Figure 4), and a fourth sub-circuit (circuit for generating S0 in Figure 4), and plurality of Logic circuits comprise first Logic circuits, second Logic circuits, third logic circuit and fourth logic circuits (Figure 4), first sub-circuit to receive two of multiplier bits and complements of two multiplier bits, first sub-circuit to provide a signal to first logic circuits, first logic circuits to output two Booth encoded signals (S1 and S_1 in Figure 4).

Re claim 7, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 second sub-circuit to receive one of multiplier bits and complements of two multiplier bits, second sub-circuit to provide a signal to second Logic circuits, second Logic circuits to output one Booth encoded signal (S2 in Figure 4).

Re claim 8, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 third sub-circuit to receive two of multiplier bits and complements of one multiplier bit, third sub-circuit to provide a signal to third Logic circuits, third Logic circuits to output one Booth encoded signal (S 2 in Figure 4).

Re claim 9, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 fourth sub-circuit to receive two of multiplier bits and complements of two multiplier bits, and to provide a signal to fourth Logic circuits based on two of multiplier bits and complements of two multiplier bits, fifth sub-circuit to receive two of multiplier bits and complements of two multiplier bits and to provide a signal to fourth logic circuit based on two of multiplier

Art Unit: 2124

bits and complements of two multiplier bits, fourth Logic circuit to output one Booth encoded signal (S0 in Figure 4).

Re claim 10, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 Booth encoded signals represent a multiply by zero; a multiply by one; a multiply by negative one, a multiply by two; and a multiply by negative two (col. 2 lines 30-33).

Re claim 11, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 Booth encoded signals are substantially delay-matched at an output of Booth encoder circuit (claim 13 in col. 8).

Re claim 12, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 circuit has a maximum of a three-gate delay from an input of Booth encoder circuit to an output of Booth encoder circuit (e.g. Figure 4 with 401, 405 and 413).

Re claim 13, it has same limitation cited in claim 12. Thus, claim 13 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 12.

Re claim 14, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 logic comprises a plurality of transistors (e.g. 404-409), a plurality of NAND gates (412-414) and a plurality of inverters (e.g. 401-403).

Re claim 15, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 NAND gates comprises two-input NAND circuits (e.g. 412-414).

Re claim 16, it has same limitation cited in claim 6. Thus, claim 16 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 6.

Re claim 17, it has same limitation cited in claim 7. Thus, claim 17 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 7.

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 18, it has same limitation cited in claim 8. Thus, claim 18 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 8.

Re claim 19, it has same limitation cited in claim 9. Thus, claim 19 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 9.

Re claim 20, it has same limitation cited in claim 10. Thus, claim 20 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 10.

Re claim 21, it has same limitation cited in claim 11. Thus, claim 21 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 11.

Re claim 22, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 a circuit comprising logic to receive a plurality of multiplier bits and complements of multiplier bits (e.g. b0-b2 and its complements from 401-403 in Figure 4), logic including a plurality of transistors (e.g. 404-409), a plurality of NAND gates (e.g. 412-414) and a plurality of inverters (e.g. 401-403) configured to output delay-matched Booth encoded signals (claim 13 in col. 8) based on multiplier bits and complements.

Re claim 23, it has same limitation cited in claim 12. Thus, claim 23 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 12.

Re claim 24, it has same limitation cited in claim 15. Thus, claim 24 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 15.

Re claim 25, it has same limitation cited in claim 9. Thus, claim 25 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 9.

Re claim 26, it has same limitation cited in claim 12. Thus, claim 26 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 12.

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 27, it has same limitation cited in claim 14. Thus, claim 27 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 14.

Re claim 28, it has same limitation cited in claim 15. Thus, claim 28 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 15.

Re claim 29, it has same limitation cited in claim 11. Thus, claim 29 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 11.

4. Claims 30-31 and 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (U.S. 5,818,743).

Re claim 30, Lee et al. further disclose in Figure 9A partial products generator circuit (e.g. 280) comprising: a first multiplexing device (e.g. first mux of 244') having a plurality of first transistors to receive Booth encoded signals (output of Booth Encoder on the left of Figure 9A) and to provide a first partial products output (output of the first mux into FA); and a second multiplexing device (e.g. first mux of 244'') having a plurality of second transistors to receive Booth encoded signals (e.g output of Booth Encoder on the left of Figure 9A) and multiplexed data from first multiplexing device and to provide a second partial products output (e.g. output of the first mux into FA of 244'').

Re claim 31, Lee et al. further disclose in Figure 9A a third multiplexing device having a plurality of third transistors to receive Booth encoded signals and multiplexed data from second multiplexing device and to provide a third partial products output (e.g. 244").

Re claim 33, Lee et al. further disclose in Figure 9A first multiplexing device further to receive a signal corresponding to a first bit of a multiplicand and a signal corresponding to a complement of first bit (e.g. multiplicand and bar(multiplicand)).

Re claim 34, Lee et al. further disclose in Figure 9A second multiplexing device further to receive a signal corresponding to a second bit of multiplicand and a signal corresponding to a complement of second bit (e.g. multiplicand and bar(multiplicand)).

Re claim 35, Lee et al. further disclose in Figure 9A plurality of first transistors comprise five transistors (input into mux).

Re claim 36, Lee et al. further disclose in Figure 9A multiplexed data comprises data from a previous bit of a multiplicand (e.g. second line of mux in 244'').

Re claim 37, it has same limitation cited in claim 36. Thus, claim 37 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 36.

Re claim 38, Lee et al. further disclose in Figure 9A previous multiplexing device receives Booth encoded signals and provides a second partial products output for a second bit of multiplicand based at Least on multiplexed data from another multiplexing device (e.g. second line of mux in 244").

Re claim 39, it has same limitation cited in claim 33. Thus, claim 39 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 33.

Re claim 40, it has same limitation cited in claim 34. Thus, claim 40 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Chehrazi et al. (U.S. 6,301,599) in view of Lee et al. (U.S. 5,818,743).

Re claim 1, Chehrazi et al. disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 a circuit comprising a booth encoder circuit (202 in Fig. 2) having a plurality of transistors (e.g. 404 in Fig. 4) to receive a plurality of multiplier bits (e.g. b0-b2) and complements of plurality of multiplier bits (e.g. output of 401-403), and a plurality of Logic circuits (e.g. 410-414) coupled to ones of plurality of transistors to output Booth encoded signals (e.g. S0-S 2), and a partial products generating circuit (e.g. 204 in Fig. 2) having a multiplexing device (e.g. 506) to receive Booth encoded signals and to provide partial products output (col. 6 lines 15-20). Chehrazi et al. do not clearly disclose the partial products generating circuit having a first and second multiplexing device to generate first and second partial products respective base on the Booth encoded signals and previous output even thought Chehrazi et al. disclose in column 2 lines 38-43 that the partial products generating circuits are function as a multiplexer as conventional. However, Lee et al. disclose in Figure 9A the partial products generating circuit (280) having a first multiplexing device (e.g. mux in 244') to receive Booth encoded signals (e.g. Booth Encoder) and to provide first partial products output (output of 244'), and a second multiplexing device (e.g. 244'') to receive

Booth encoded signals (e.g. Booth Encoder) and multiplexed data from first multiplexing device (e.g. second mux from left in 244") and to provide a second partial products output (output of 244"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to add a first and second multiplexer to generate a first and second partial products based on receiving the Booth encoder signal and the previous output as seen in Leet al.'s invention into Chehrazi et al.'s invention because it would enable to speed up the multiplication by reducing the number of partial products needed to be generated and added in the multiplier (col. 3 lines 65-67 and col. 4 lines 1-2).

Re claim 2, Chehrazi et al. further disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 plurality of Logic circuits includes a recoding circuit (col. 2 lines 37-42 wherein the multiplexing process as the recoding circuit to generate the partial products).

Re claims 3-4, Chehrazi et al. do not disclose in Figures 2 and 4-5 first multiplexing device further to receive a signal corresponding to a first bit of a multiplicand and a signal corresponding to a complement of first bit and respectively for second bit. However, Chehrazi et al. disclose in Figure 4 first multiplexing device further to receive a signal corresponding to a first bit of a multiplicand and a signal corresponding to a complement of first bit (e.g. multiplicand and bar(multiplicand)) and respectively for second bit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to add first multiplexing device further to receive a signal corresponding to a first bit of a multiplicand and a signal corresponding to a complement of first bit and respectively for second bit as seen in Leet

al.'s invention into Chehrazi et al.'s invention because it would enable to speed up the multiplication by reducing the number of partial products needed to be generated and added in the multiplier (col. 3 lines 65-67 and col. 4 lines 1-2).

7. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Lee et al. (U.S. 5,818,743).

Re claim 32, Lee et al. do not disclose in Figure 9A pluralities of first transistors comprise NFET transistors. However, the examiner takes an official notice that the NFET transistors are known in the art for used in multiplier. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to add the NFET transistors into the multiplier in Lee et al.'s invention because it would enable to increase the system performance by reducing the delay between gates.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. U.S. Patent No. 6,308,195 to Hirase et al. disclose a 4-2 compressor circuit and voltage holding circuit for use in 4-2 compressor circuit.
 - b. U.S. Patent No. 6,684,236 to Farnbach disclose a system of and method for efficiently performing computations through extended Booth encoding of the operands thereto.
 - c. U.S. Patent No. 6,721,774 to Lee et al. disclose a low power multiplier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (571) 272-3721. The examiner can normally be reached on $M \Rightarrow F$ from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2124

December 13, 2004

TODD INGBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER